# Best Practices in Allergy Care: Improving Safety, Quality, and Outcome in Allergy Delivery

Stella Lee, MD

Department of Otolaryngology—Head & Neck Surgery Division of Sinonasal Disorders and Allergy April 27, 2014

Fourth Annual ENT for the PA-C | April 24-27, 2014 | Pittsburgh, PA



# Learning Objectives

- Recognize how to safely provide quality allergy care.
- Describe current practice parameters on allergy immunotherapy.
- Provide a model for creating a safe allergy practice and track quality metrics.

Fourth Annual ENT for the PA-C | April 24-27, 2014 | Pittsburgh, PA



ENT FOR THE PA-C

# Relevance

- Allergy affects one-third of the US population
- 7.9 billion dollars per year spent managing allergic disease
- Allergic rhinitis and asthma are two leading causes of missed school days secondary to chronic illness
- Significant effect on quality of life
- Children with AR have cognitive dysfunction and increased fatigue
- Sleep dysfunction

## ) University of Pittsburgh

# Allergies are on the Rise

- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey study 2011
  - 43.7% prevalence of atopy in the US
  - 20.2% 2-3 decades earlier

Salo PM, et al. Allergy-related outcomes in relation to serum IgE: results from the NHANES Survey 2005–2006. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011.

## 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Co-morbid Conditions**

- Increased risk of asthma, rhinosinusitis, and chronic otitis media
- Unified airway
  - Over 80% of patients with asthma manifest rhinitis symptoms
  - Up to 40% of patients with rhinitis symptoms have asthma
- Evaluation of lower airway disease for rhinitis patients and upper airway disease in asthmatic patients

# **SCIT Efficacy Cochrane Review**

Calderon MA, et al. Allergen injection immunotherapy for seasonal allergic rhinitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007 Jan 24;(1):CD00193

- $\downarrow$  symptom score in 15 trials
- +  $\downarrow$  medication use in 13 tirals
- $\uparrow$  QOL (rhinoconjunctivitis) in 5 trials
- $\downarrow$  ocular symptoms in 3 trials
- +  $\downarrow$  bronchial symptoms in 5 trials
- +  $\ \downarrow$  incidence of new sensitizations

## ) University of Pittsburgh

# **SCIT Safety**

- Fatalities from immunotherapy 0.7 deaths per million injections (0.00007%)
- Dose error causes 1/3 of deaths
- Epinephrine not used in 50% of deaths
- In 2/3 of fatal cases, presence of physician was not sufficient to ensure survival

Norman PS. Safety of allergen immunotherapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1989.

## Duniversity of Pittsburgh

# **Defining Quality Metrics**

- What is a quality metric?
  - Measurement of factors associated with good patient-centered care
- Not yet defined in the delivery of allergy immunotherapy
- Need to develop appropriate metrics that assess and correlate with safety and patient outcomes
- Develop and apply quality metrics to 6 allergy practices with approximately 1500 patients
  - Anaphylaxis
  - Adherence to key safety measures via checklist
  - 5 areas of focus/intervention





# **Quality Measures**

- Process (What providers do)
- Patient outcome (What happens to patients)
- Structure
- Access to care
- Patient satisfaction (What was patient's experience)
- Efficiency (How much could be done without wasted resources)

#### Duniversity of Pittsburgh

Task force report

Allergen immunotherapy: A practice parameter third update

Chief Editons: Linda Cox, MD, Harold Nelson, MD, and Richard Lockey, MD Workgroup Contributors: Christopher Calabria, MD, Thomas Chacko, MD, Ira Finegold, MD, Michael Nelson, MD, PhD, and Richard Weber, MD

- Most recommendations grade C or D
- Safety data from the otolaryngic allergy literature
- No AAOA practice guidelines yet

Cox et al. Allergen immunotherapy: a practice parameter third update. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011 Jan;127(1 Suppl):S1-55.

# **The Affordable Care Act**

- Signed into law 2010
- Prevalent theme is to improve quality care while lowering cost for all Americans
- Reimbursement algorithms will be modified by linking payment to quality outcomes
- By 2015 new provision with tie physician payment to quality of care provided

### 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Increased Stringency of Guidelines**

- Drug Quality and Security Act of November 2013 (the "Compounding Bill")
- All compounded sterile preparations must have a prescription
- Physicians and technicians need to be aware of and be compliant with **all** aspects of the USP 797 sterile compounding rules

## 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Quality Initiative**

- Allergy Quality Initiative Round Table convened with staff stakeholders including physicians, technicians, nurses, and managers
- 7 Allergy Technicians and Medical Assistants over 6 allergy sites in an academic otolaryngic allergy practice
- 46 question survey encompassing 7 key categories
- Example questions included:
  - "Do you feel your training was adequate in preparing you for allergy mixing/testing/administration?" (assessed qualitative training)
  - "Where is the emergency code cart located and what is in it?" (assessed knowledge of treating and management of anaphylaxis)

# **Survey Results - Training**

- Mean allergy training 48 days
- Participation in biweekly allergy conference limited in 43% respondents
- Recommendations:
  - Hands-on teachingImproved consistency in training
  - Increased scenario simulations
  - 1 month of training

|                      | Duration of Tra | aining                                      |
|----------------------|-----------------|---|
|                      |                 | Too Long     Adequat     Too Shot     Other |
| Days of Training (6) | Days of Train   | ning  |



# **Survey Results - Anaphylaxis**

- 100% respondents noted clearly outlined and updated protocol in office
- 100% knowledge of content and location of anaphylaxis cart
- 100% regular update of emergency cart supplies
- 100% trained in BLS and/or ACLS
- 71% noted formal training in anaphylaxis management



0

#### Duniversity of Pittsburgh

# Survey Results – Allergy Testing

# and Mixing

- 57% noted lack of protected time to perform allergy testing
- 67% noted distractions with 50% noting "quite frequently" or "all day"
- Errors in mixing noted by 57% respondents and related to multi-tasking during mixing and documentation errors



# Survey Results – Allergy Care Delivery

- 100% physician availability and oversight
- 50% noted adequate mechanisms in place for reporting errors or near misses

### 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Core Areas of Improvement**

- 1. Need for routine and ongoing systems review with evaluation of current practice and adherence to existing practice parameters
- 2. Standardization of training and assessment
- 3. Reduction of errors in mixing, allergy administration, and documentation
- 4. Improved communication with data entry, access, and relevance of the electronic medical record
- 5. Reporting and review of errors/anaphylaxis

## 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Methods and Interventions**

- 1. Reviewed current practice and adherence to standards
- 2. Developed standardized training and assessment
- 3. Reduced risk of errors via audit, vial verification, vial testing
- 4. Improved data entry, access, and relevance
- 5. Implemented reporting and review of errors/anaphylaxis

- **1. Review of current practice and adherence to standards**
- Checklist developed with key safety measures, assessment of anaphylaxis preparedness
- · Audits of allergy sites performed
- Remediation performed for areas where improvement needed

| llergy Site Checklist                |      |      |                 |                            |  |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| Equipment/protocols                  | Pass | Fail | Recommendations | Technician/manager initial |  |
| Epi-pen adult                        |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Epi-pen child                        |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Emergency drug box/crash cart        |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Oxygen task/mask/prongs              |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Injectable stervids                  |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Skin prick screen trays              |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Intradormal dilutional testing trays |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Patient vial expiration              |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Patient vial management              |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Antigen log book                     |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Antigen expiration                   |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Antigen management                   |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Emergency plan for testing           |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Emorgancy plan for injections        |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Emergency plan for vagal reactions   |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| MSDS logbook                         |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Allergy delivery protocol            |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Boodborne pathogens protocol         |      |      |                 |                            |  |
| Needle stick protocol/kit            |      |      |                 |                            |  |

# luniversity of Pittsburgh

2. Allergy Training and Standardization

ALLERGIES AND IMMUNOTHERAPY

> Teaching Manual Division of Sitematel Disorders and Allergy Updated 4/15/2014

# 2. Allergy Training and Standardization

- Web-based training modules
   Immunotherapy fundamentals
  - Allergy testing
  - Serum preparation
  - Immunotherapy injections
  - Anaphylaxis
- Physician oversight and sign-off on training
- Bi-weekly teleconference across satellites
- Mock anaphylaxis drills

## 🛞 University of Pittsburgh

# 3. Reduction of Human Error

- Centralized allergy mixing
- 2-person vial verification
- Vial testing implemented for any patient with new vial
- Protected mixing time reinforced and supported by administration



## 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Prior to Giving Injections**

- Did you have any problems after your last injection?
- Is your asthma controlled?
- Have your medications or medical history (including pregnancy) changed since your last injection?
- Did you take an antihistamine today?
- Do you have your epinephrine device with you?
- Would you please verify your name & date of birth on each vial?

# 4. Improvement of data entry, access, and relevance

- Central resource Sharepoint
   website developed
- Focus on sharing of information related to allergy testing, administration
- Modification of Epic interface
- Making "meaningful use" meaningful

| 1218-9 | a decurrent with the team by wolding it to the decurrent time |
|--------|---|
| 1000   | <ul> <li>Ushad * Actors * Detrops *</li> </ul>                |
| THE    | Farm  |
| 24     | Advancing Viels - Parms for Outside Adversitivelian           |
|        | Allerpy Education   |
| -      | Allergy Quictee   |
| 24     | Downtime Documentation Parme                                  |
| 24     | Education Handould  |
|        | ENIT Allergy Consent Forms                                    |
| -      | Guidelines and Quality Herrics                                |
| 24     | Instantive app Resources                                      |
| 14     | Hamteriance Vals - Fontes for Outsile Adversite ation         |
| 24     | New Protocol Incidents Local Reactors                         |
| 04     | Patient Results handsuits                                     |
| 24     | Scheduling Packet   |
| 24     | Sublingual Immunisher apu Documentarian                       |
| 24     | Teleconference Quizzes  |
| 创      | Alongo Semanative and Synchem ARA Form 02.21.13               |
| 0      | Anaphylevia 2013 update reference                             |
| 125    | and the design and it is a start of the second                |

Shared Documents

#### ) University of Pittsburgh

# 5. Tracking of Errors and Anaphylaxis

- Most common types of error recorded prior to implementation of quality metrics from 2008-2012
  - Patient identification errors (n=4)
  - Vial mixing errors (n=3)
  - Dosing errors (n=2)
- 7 episodes of anaphylaxis occurred, 2 secondary to identified dosing errors
- Site visits showed 86% key safety measures followed

### 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

## Anaphylaxis

- Skin (>90%): hives, swelling, itch, warmth, redness, rash
- Breathing (60%): wheezing, shortness of breath, throat tightness, cough, hoarse voice, chest pain/tightness, nasal congestion, fever-like symptoms, trouble swallowing
- Stomach (30%): nausea, pain/cramps, vomiting, diarrhea, itchy mouth/throat
- Circulation (30%): pale/blue color, poor pulse, fainting, dizzy/lightheaded, low blood pressure, shock
- Other: anxiety, feeling of "impending doom", itchy/watery eyes, headache

# What are risk factors for anaphylaxis?

## 🛞 University of Pittsburgh

# **Anaphylaxis Risk Factors**

- Escalation phase of immunotherapy
- Seasonal exacerbation, active asthma
- Upper respiratory infection with fever
- First injection from treatment vial
- Errors
- Beta blocker treatment



#### AAAAI/ACAAI Surveillance Study of Subcutaneous Immunotherapy, Years 2008-2012: An Update on Fatal and Nonfatal Systemic Allergic Reactions

Tolly G. Epstein, MD, MS<sup>4,8</sup>, Gary M. Liss, MD, MS<sup>4</sup>, Karen Murphy-Berendts, BS, RRT, CCRC<sup>4</sup>, and David J. Bernstein, MD<sup>4,4</sup>. Constant, Ohio: Indianagedis, Ind. and Torvers, Ostaria: Consta

- One fatality in 2009
- 43-year old man with well-controlled mild-moderate persistent asthma who had been advancing on shots
- Highly sensitive especially to weeds, reaction occurred during weed season (Oct)
- PMH: HTN, DM, obesity and started on lisinopril in previous 2 weeks
- Received 2 injections of 0.2 mL neither from a new vial
   One vial with Bermuda and Kentucky blue grass
  - Second vial with cat, dog, and weeds

## 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Case Report: Anaphylaxis**

- Within 3-10 minutes patient experienced generalized pruritus, urticaria, angioedema, GI symptoms, upper/lower airway obstruction.
- Hypotension, LOC, shock ensued
- Epinephrine given 0.3 mg subcutaneously at onset followed by 0.3 mg IM within 1-2 minutes
- Within 5-6 minutes patient had no detectable BP and CPR initiated
- 3 additional doses of 0.3 mg of IM epi and 50 mg of diphenhydramine given
- Resuscitation not successful despite IV fluids, emergent cricothyroidotomy





# **Types of Allergens**

- Perennial
  - -Dust mite, Cockroach
  - -Molds, Animal Danders
- Seasonal
  - Trees: Early spring
  - -Grasses: Late spring/early summer
  - -Weeds: Late summer/early fall

## 🛞 University of Pittsburgh

# **Strategies to Prevent Reactions**

- Intradermal vial test
  - Dose errors
  - Initial injection from a vial
  - Treatment from wrong patient vial
  - Vials prepared by another office
- Patients with asthma must be under good medical and environmental control
- Antihistamine prior to shot
- · Consider dose reduction during high pollen season

## 🛞 University of Pittsburgh

# **Anaphylaxis Management**

- Call for help
- Follow the ABCs
- Place patient supine or in Trendelenburg position
- Give epinephrine if necessary. If patient continues to worsen give additional dose after 5 minutes.
- Tourniquet above injection site
- Give patient H1 and H2 blockers, steroids, bronchodilators
- Transport to ER when stable, consider admission
- Debriefing session



**Epinephrine:** If you think of it, use it!

- Early epinephrine use for suspected anaphylaxis
- Good outcome more likely with immediate epinephrine use
- Epinephrine not used often enough to treat anaphylaxis

## 🛞 University of Pittsburgh

What is the **dose** for injectable epineprhine?

# 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

Adult Dosing of Anaphylaxis Medications

- Epinephrine 1:1000, 0.3-0.5 mL IM
- Diphenhydramine 25-50 mg IV/IM
- Ranitidine 50 mg IV/IM
- Methylprednisolone 125 mg IV/IM
- Dexamethasone 10 mg IV/IM
- Albuterol neb or MDI: Dose as for asthma

# Epinephrine

- Adult 0.3-0.5 mL (1:1000) IM
- Child 0.01 mg/kg (1:1000) IM
- Autoinjector devices
- Better absorbed via IM route
- Repeat every 5 mins as needed

# Duniversity of Pittsburgh

# 5. Tracking of Errors and Anaphylaxis

- National average 0.4-2.6 moderate to severe systemic reactions/10,000 injections per year.
- UPP average was high 0.4% or 4 events/10,000 injections per year prior to vial testing.
- What is vial testing?
  - 0.01 ml of allergy serum injected intradermally prior to providing full dose
  - Safety check due to potential mixing errors and lot changes
  - If reaction <13 mm give first dose</li>
  - If >13 mm consider holding/diluting vial



# **Outcome Measures**

- Efficacy of allergy treatment on patient outcome
- Assessment of patients at initiation of immunotherapy and monthly until maintenance
- Questionnaire developed assessing the following:
  - Perceived benefit of immunotherapy
  - Experience with local reactions
  - Medication score
  - RQLQ

O LI

of Pittsh

- Asthma Control Questionnaire

| O University of Pit | ttsburgh                  |                         |  |                  |  |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|--|
|                     |                           | Gene                    | nal                                    |                  |  |
| L.                  | Do you feel that allerg   | y shots are helping to  | elieve your symptom                    | 7                |  |
|                     | © 7                       | rs ⇔No                  | C Uncure                               |                  |  |
| 2.                  | Are you having any of     | the following with alle | rgy shots?                             |                  |  |
| a 5                 | extling at injection site | e la Redness at injecti | on site ic Resh                        | c Other          |  |
|                     |                           | Medicatio               | n Usage                                |                  |  |
|                     | How many time             | s/week did you need to  | use the following in th                | e part month?    |  |
| L                   | Nanal steroid spray D     | tor example: Flonase, N | asones, Fluticasone, S                 | teramatil:       |  |
|                     | C Ransty/Never            | o 1-2 times/week        | o 3-4 times/week                       | o +5 times/week  |  |
| 1                   | Topical antihistamine     | spray (for example: A   | itelin, Astepra, Azelas                | tine, Patanasei? |  |
|                     | ⇒ Rarely/Never            | =1-2 times/week         | :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: | z >5 times/week  |  |
| х.                  | Oral antihistamine. U     | or example: Daritin, Al | ingra, Zurter, Bernadixi               | 17               |  |
|                     | C Rarely/Never            | o 1-2 times/week        | o 3-4 times/week                       | o.>5 times/week  |  |
| 4                   | Singulair (Monteluka      | 412                     |  |                  |  |
|                     | Diffately/Never           | = 1-2 times/week        | = 3-4 smes/week                        | p >5 times/week  |  |
| 5                   | Prednisone or Medro       | d                       |  |                  |  |
|                     | c Rarely/Never            | o 1-2 times/week        | c 3-4 times/week                       | = >5 times/week  |  |
|                     |                           |                         |  |                  |  |

| Rease complete of questions by choing the runde  | er that best o  | feace likes how              | troubled you ha      | the been throng t      | he knot week on                          | a result of you  | or most kye        |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|------------------|--------------------|
|  | Not<br>troubled | Hardly<br>troubled<br>at all | Somewhat<br>troubled | Moderately<br>proubled | Guite a bit<br>troubled                  | Very<br>traubled | Extrema<br>trouble |
| Activities   |                 |                              |                      |                        |  |                  |                    |
| <ol> <li>Regular activities at home and at<br/>work (your occupation or tasks that<br/>you have to do regularly around your<br/>home and/or gorden)</li> </ol> | 0               | 1                            | <u>.</u>             | <b>a</b> 2             | ٠  | 8                | ÷                  |
| 2 Recreational activities (indoor and<br>outdoor activities with Riends and<br>family, sports, social activities,<br>hobbies)                                  |                 | 1                            | 2                    | 1                      | +  | 5                | 4                  |
| B Steep (difficulties getting a good<br>night's sleep and/or getting to sleep<br>at night)   |                 | 1                            | 3                    | 1                      | •  |                  | - 6                |
| Practical problems   |                 |                              |                      |                        |  | 0                |                    |
| 4 Needts rub nose/eyes   | 0.              | 1                            | - 2                  | 1                      | - 4                                      | 1                | 6                  |
| 5 Need to brow nose repeatedly   | 0.              | 1                            | - 2                  | 3                      |  | 5                | 6                  |
| Nose symptoms  | 1.1.1           | 10000000                     | - 11 C U             |                        | 1  | 1.1.1            |                    |
| 8 Sneeding   | 0               | 1                            | 2                    | 3                      | . 4                                      | 1                | 6                  |
| 7 3huffy/blocked note  | 0               | 1                            | 2                    | 3                      | 4  | 1                | 6                  |
| E Runny name   | 0.              | - 1                          | - 2                  | 3                      |  | 3                | 4                  |
| Eye Symptoms   |                 |                              |                      |                        |  |                  |                    |
| 8 3079.4941  | 0               | 1                            | . 3 .                | 2                      | +  | 8                | 6                  |
| 10 Sore eyes   | 0               | - 1                          | 3                    | 3                      | 4  | 3                | 6.                 |
| 11 Watery eyes   | 0               | 1                            | - 2                  | 1                      |  | 1                |                    |
| Other Symptoms   |                 |                              |                      |                        | 1. |                  |                    |
| 12 Tredness and/or fatigue   | .8.             | . 1                          | - 2                  | 1                      | 4  | 5                | 6                  |
| 13 Thirp   | 0               | 1                            | - 2                  | 3                      | 4  | 5                | 6                  |
| 24 Feeling initiable   | 0               | 1 .                          | 2                    | 3                      |  | 5                | 6                  |
| Total  |                 |                              |                      |                        |  |                  |                    |
| Grand Total  |                 |                              | r                    |                        | 12 6                                     | 1.000            |                    |







## **Outcome Measures**

- Consider using a validated symptom survey to follow patients
- Monitoring of asthma control
- Yearly physician follow-up
- Patient compliance, i.e., what percentage of patients have reached
  maintenance or completed the full course of immunotherapy?

## 🕘 University of Pittsburgh

# **Patient Physician Contract**

- All patients required to stay 30 minutes after injection.
- Must carry epinephrine device at time of injection.
- Try to be compliant with injections.
- Follow-up with physician on a yearly basis.

# What we want to know?

- Did immunotherapy improve quality of life?
- Did patients reach their therapeutic dose without significant local or systemic reactions?
- Did patients follow-up with physicians on a regular basis?
- Did medication use decrease with immunotherapy?
- Was pharmacotherapy more effective than immunotherapy?

## 🛞 University of Pittsburgh

# **Nationwide Practices**

- Online survey developed to collect data from academic and private allergists from both medical and otolaryngology backgrounds
- Survey questions regarding preparation of vials, management of anaphylaxis, systems review, use of quality metrics

| Do you perform anaphyliaxis mock drills?  | Yes, No  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| If yes, how often do you perform mock drills?   | One time in 2 or 3 years, Yearly, Every 3 months, Monthly  |  |  |  |  |
| Do you have an emergency anaphylaxis box or cart?   | Yes, No, Don't know  |  |  |  |  |
| Do you know where your emergency kit is?  | Yes, No, No, but the nurse knows   |  |  |  |  |
| How do you verify patient and vial are correct, check all applicable:   | One person verification, Two person verification, Three person verification<br>Bar code  |  |  |  |  |
| Does the patient have to verify their vial?   | Yes, No  |  |  |  |  |
| Do you verify the formulation of each vial with the allergy technician or<br>nurse before/after it is mixed?  | Wes, No  |  |  |  |  |
| How long do you recommend patients wait after a shot?   | No wait unless they have risk factors such as asthma, 20 minutes, 30 minutes, Longer than 30 minutes.  |  |  |  |  |
| if patients don't wait what do you do?  | They sign out against medical advice, Prescription and instructions on hor<br>to use epi-pen, Refuse to give shot or continue immunotherapy, Nothin<br>It's a free country |  |  |  |  |
| Do you differentiate between viais which are advancing and those at<br>maintenance and if so how?             | Yes, No; by: Color caps are different for vial type, Numbers are different,<br>Other   |  |  |  |  |
| Do you record near misses and adverse events?   | Yes (please describe), No, Sometimes   |  |  |  |  |
| Do you perform a systems review/chart audits/site visits to assess<br>reasons for errors or adverse reactions | Yes (please describe), No, Usually, Hardly ever  |  |  |  |  |
| Do you have quality metrics for your allergy practice?  | Yes (please specify), No. Don't know   |  |  |  |  |



# **Survey of Nationwide Practices**

- 130 medical and otolaryngic allergists invited to participate in survey
- 33 complete responses obtained (25% response rate)
- 19 medical allergists
- 14 otolaryngic allergists
- 25 academic practices
- 8 private practices

## ) University of Pittsburgh

# **Nationwide Practices**

- Most allergy compounding done in one location, >90%
- 52% perform systems review/site visit/chart audits
- 27% physicians do not verify formulation of vial before/after mixed
- 87% screen for asthma prior to giving injections
- +  $\,$  97% require that patients wait at least 20-30 mins after shot
- 62% perform mock anaphylaxis drills
- 40% provide home immunotherapy
- 36% physicians have heard of quality metric but not sure what it means (21% answered no)































![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Where do we start?

- Self-Assessment/Systems Review
  - Determine strengths/weaknesses
  - Perform regular audits
  - Physician oversight of competency
- Standardize training, run mock anaphylaxis drills
- Be aware of current guidelines and utilize them!
- Decide which metrics are important to follow patients
- Become informed of new legislation and requirements

## 🛞 University of Pittsburgh

## **USP 797**

- Simple transfer via sterile needles/syringes of commercial sterile allergen products
- Contain appropriate substances to prevent growth of microorganisms
- Thorough hand cleansing procedure with water and nail cleaner followed by washing to elbows for 30 seconds with antimicrobial soap and water
- Hair covers, facial hair covers, gowns, and face masks
- Sterile gloves compatible with sterile 70% isopropyl alcohol
- Disinfect ampule necks and vial stoppers with 70% IPA
- Label of each vial lists name, "by use date" and storage temperature range

# **Conclusions**

- Quality metrics include process, outcome, and patient satisfaction measures
- In the current era of health reform, quality measures will become necessary
- Internal and external audits can be helpful
- Otolaryngic allergists and providers must be versed in the discussion of quality metrics

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

Contact email: lees6@upmc.edu